

Dear EEA and DOER,

Please consider my comments relating to your site suitability guidelines drafts:

1- Section B- For site suitability scoring, please ensure that any individual or group who feels impacted or harmed can request a score review. under sections iv and v.

2- For Section C Criteria, I. Climate Change Resilience The criteria should include encouraging the maintenance of intact forests, protecting all water, minimizing storm water run-off, and retaining soil integrity, in order to achieve greater resiliency in a changing climate. The Resilient Design Standards Tool should also be used to determine if the project will contribute or add to local conditions of Extreme Heat in the community.

3 - For Section C. Criteria, II. Carbon Storage and Sequestration: The National Forest Carbon Monitoring System and the Annual NLCD land cover data web sites are not user friendly making it difficult for to review by local government or stakeholders.

4- For Section C. Criteria, III. Biodiversity - There should be a process to ensure that a robust inventory of of wildlife and rare species be applied in the Biodiversity index, plus a clear mechanism for inclusive local appeal of the biodiversity criteria. Areas to avoid altogether with no option for mitigation should include Critical Natural Landscapes as determined by BioMap3.

5- For Section E. Other Considerations of Note, I. Drinking Water Supply- Ensure that communities maintain authority to protect all drinking water supplies, including for private wells and aquifers. Provide assurance that communities can use land use or public health bylaws to create regulations for drinking water supply protection.

Sincerely yours,  
Jill Buchanan  
Shutesbury, MA